UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CORY ST	OKES Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. <u>3:12-CV-05527 JSW</u>
INTERLIN	v. NE BRANDS, INC. Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	unsel report that they have met stipulation pursuant to Civil L.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties	s agree to participate in the foll	owing ADR process:
	Non-binding Arbitration (ABA) Early Neutral Evaluation (ABA) Mediation (ADA) Arties who believe that an early services	ADR L.R. 4) (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is
ADR phon		ds than any other form of ADR must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Pri ⊠	ivate Process: Private ADR (please ident	tify process and provider)
The parties		n by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	1	The parties agree that the best process for this case will parties believe, however, that it is too early in the case ficial.
Dated:		/s/ Robin G. Workman Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:		/s/ Matthew C. Kane Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

- The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
- ☐ The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 11, 2013

UNITED STATES

JUDGE

Huy Swhits

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."